

Port of Houston Authority, Texas

General Obligation Bonds New Issue Report

Ratings

New Issues

| | |
|--|-----|
| Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A (Tax Exempt Non-AMT) | AAA |
| Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015B (AMT) | AAA |
| Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015C (Taxable) | AAA |

Outstanding Debt

| | |
|---------------------|-----|
| Unlimited Tax Bonds | AAA |
|---------------------|-----|

Rating Outlook

Stable

New Issue Details

Sale Information: \$62,945,000 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A (Tax Exempt Non-AMT), \$26,010,000 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015B (AMT), \$49,770,000 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015C (Taxable), via negotiation during the week of July 20.

Security: Unlimited annual property tax levy.

Purpose: Refund outstanding debt for interest cost savings.

Final Maturity: Oct. 1, 2017 (2015A); Oct. 1, 2023 (2015B); Oct. 1, 2031 (2015C).

Rating Drivers

Large, Diverse Regional Economy: The expansive regional economy has continued to show solid gains in recent months, although the recent plunge in oil prices is expected to slow the pace of growth over the near term.

Strong Financial Performance: The authority continues to exhibit good financial performance and has maintained its sizable liquidity position while investing its cash flow in capital expenditures.

Port's Inherent Economic Importance: The Port of Houston is one of the nation's largest maritime ports, ranking second among all U.S. ports in total tonnage. The port's shipping and trade activities support a significant number of jobs and economic activity throughout the region and the state.

Large Capital Plan: The authority's forward-looking capital improvement plan (CIP) positions it for continued pre-eminence as a major national port, with expansion to its cargo and ship capacity needed to accommodate an anticipated increase in demand.

Above-Average Debt Burden: The overall debt burden on the tax base is above average levels, and principal payout is slow. Debt levels will likely remain elevated but within a range acceptable for the high rating. Pension and OPEB liabilities are well funded.

Sensitivities

Economic Pressures: Material and sustained erosion in the local economy, including taxable values, could lead to negative rating pressure.

Port Operations: A material shift in the currently strong operating metrics and financial position of the port could affect the authority's ULTGO rating. The Stable Outlook reflects Fitch's view that such shifts are unlikely over the near term.

Debt Profile: An increase in overall debt levels beyond current expectations could also lead to a change in the rating.

Related Research

Fitch Affirms Port of Houston Authority, TX ULTGOs at 'AAA'; Stable Outlook (August 2013)

Analysts

Jose Acosta
+1 512 215-3726
jose.acosta@fitchratings.com

Emma Griffith
+1 212-908-1124
emma.griffith@fitchratings.com

Rating History

| Rating | Action | Outlook/ Watch | Date |
|--------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| AAA | Affirmed | Stable | 6/30/15 |
| AAA | Affirmed | Stable | 8/27/13 |
| AAA | Affirmed | Stable | 9/12/11 |
| AAA | Affirmed | Stable | 7/21/10 |
| AA+ | Revised | Stable | 4/30/10 |
| AA+ | Affirmed | Stable | 1/7/10 |
| AA+ | Affirmed | Stable | 11/24/09 |
| AA+ | Affirmed | Stable | 9/15/06 |
| AA+ | Affirmed | Stable | 8/11/05 |
| AA+ | Affirmed | — | 11/11/04 |
| AA+ | Assigned | — | 10/3/02 |

Credit Profile

The authority is the local sponsor of the 52-mile Houston Ship Channel, and owns and operates the public terminals of the Port of Houston. The authority's large and diverse tax base encompasses Harris County, including the city of Houston. Harris County's population, estimated at 4.4 million, makes it the largest county in Texas and the third largest in the nation.

Oil Price Decline Clouds Otherwise Strong Economic Picture

The post-recession recovery of Houston's regional economy has outpaced that of many other large U.S. cities, as a robust energy sector, the Port of Houston and healthcare all contributed to recent population and employment gains. County employment continued to register moderate gains, posting a 1.3% increase in the 12-month period ended March 2015; the county's unemployment rate of 4.2% for the month was down from 5.2% in the same period last year and is on par with the state average but below the U.S. rate (5.6%). The metro population continues to expand at an annual rate of roughly 2%, in line with state growth trends and double the U.S. average.

The recent plunge in oil prices may materially affect the pace of economic growth in the county over the near term. The county is home to several thousand energy companies, ranging from large multinational concerns to numerous mid-sized to smaller exploration, construction, engineering and service companies. Growth in other sectors (e.g. shipping, healthcare) has reduced dependence on the energy sector over the past several decades, and direct employment in the sector was only 4% of the 2014 regional total. However, estimates of the oil and gas contribution to the MSA's 2014 GDP range from 15%–20%, and when associated industries are included the share of GDP increases to 35%–40%.

A number of energy companies have announced layoffs in recent weeks, including Schlumberger, Halliburton and Baker Hughes. Total job loss estimates vary, but projections for 2015 county employment gains are sharply lower than the 100,000 annual increases in jobs the county has experienced recently. The diversity between up-stream (exploration and production) and down-stream (refining and chemical manufacturing) users of oil & gas provides some stability during price swings of these commodities.

The authority's taxable assessed value (TAV) has resumed good growth following a one-year recessionary decline in 2011, climbing 8% and 11% in 2014 and 2015, respectively, due to reappraisal gains and surging home building. The top 10 taxpayers make up only 5.1% of TAV, although many are oil & gas firms. Continued downward pressure on oil & gas prices may result in a return to more moderate rates of TAV gains over the next several years.

Freight Traffic

(Short Tons: 2,000 lbs.)

| | Total Authority and Private Terminals | % Change | Authority Only | % Change |
|------|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1995 | 135,231 | — | 19,802 | — |
| 1996 | 148,183 | 9.6 | 21,010 | 6.1 |
| 1997 | 165,456 | 11.7 | 22,621 | 7.7 |
| 1998 | 169,070 | 2.2 | 25,972 | 14.8 |
| 1999 | 158,828 | (6.1) | 25,349 | (2.4) |
| 2000 | 186,567 | 17.5 | 28,717 | 13.3 |
| 2001 | 185,050 | (0.8) | 27,460 | (4.4) |
| 2002 | 177,561 | (4.0) | 28,660 | 4.4 |
| 2003 | 190,923 | 7.5 | 30,019 | 4.7 |
| 2004 | 202,046 | 5.8 | 32,773 | 9.2 |
| 2005 | 211,666 | 4.8 | 34,791 | 6.2 |
| 2006 | 222,147 | 5.0 | 40,437 | 16.2 |
| 2007 | 216,064 | (2.7) | 40,342 | (0.2) |
| 2008 | 212,207 | (1.8) | 42,740 | 5.9 |
| 2009 | 211,341 | (0.4) | 36,736 | (14.0) |
| 2010 | 227,133 | 7.5 | 40,246 | 9.6 |
| 2011 | 237,798 | 4.7 | 42,441 | 5.5 |
| 2012 | 238,185 | 0.2 | 44,035 | 3.8 |
| 2013 | 229,246 | (3.8) | 44,669 | 1.4 |
| 2014 | N.A. | — | 46,637 | 4.4 |

N.A – Not available.

Related Criteria

U.S. Local Government Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (August 2012)
 Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (August 2012)

Positive Margins and Strong Cash Position

Operating revenues have grown by a robust annual average of 9.2% over the past six years, which is notable as it includes a large 13% decline in 2009 commensurate with the economic downturn. Solid financial performance has been aided by steady cargo tonnage trends that have posted average annual gains of 4.9% since 2009. Total authority tonnage has grown in every year except 2009, when overall tonnage declined by 14%. Property taxes are levied only to pay debt service on voter-authorized ULTGO bonds.

General Fund Summary

(\$000; Audited Years Ended Dec. 31)

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Vessel and Cargo Services | 159,799 | 177,405 | 190,618 | 200,101 | 238,083 |
| Rental of Equipment and Facilities | 20,346 | 22,030 | 23,077 | 25,114 | 17,763 |
| Grain Elevator | 911 | 1,923 | 683 | 592 | 1,821 |
| Bulk Materials | 2,368 | 2,131 | 2,485 | 2,665 | 4,270 |
| Other Revenue | 3,272 | 3,356 | 8,512 | 5,201 | 1,960 |
| Non-Operating Revenues | 14,892 | 8,481 | 13,424 | 19,094 | 10,574 |
| Total Revenue | 201,588 | 215,326 | 238,799 | 252,767 | 274,471 |
| Operating Expenditures | 149,230 | 139,117 | 146,782 | 146,931 | 164,149 |
| Non-Operating Expenditures | 5,872 | 3,350 | 3,906 | 2,998 | 2,694 |
| Total Expenditures | 155,102 | 142,467 | 150,688 | 149,929 | 166,843 |
| EBITDA | 37,466 | 67,728 | 78,593 | 86,742 | 99,748 |
| Margin (%) | 20.1 | 32.7 | 34.9 | 37.1 | 37.8 |

Authority management is expecting a strong operating margin in 2015 based on year-to-date cargo statistics through May. Tonnage statistics for the first five months show general cargo up by 24%, countered by bulk cargo tonnage decline of 25%, resulting in total tonnage increase of 9% year over year. Because of the higher revenues associated with general cargo, year to date operating revenues are up by a large 27% although operating expenses and general and administrative (G&A) expenses are also up by 10% and 4%, respectively. Fitch considers the port's five-year financial forecast to be conservative, projecting annual revenue growth of only 0.8% but still able to generate an average of \$100 million in cash flow for its capital plan.

Elevated Debt Burden; Very Large CIP But Modest Employee-Related Liabilities

Overall debt ratios are elevated at 6.4% of market value (MV) and \$6,221 per capita. The port's debt portfolio consists entirely of ULTGO debt, the repayment of which is slow at only 34% retired in the next 10 years.

The authority is in the midst of funding its sizable capital improvement program to build new freight and ship terminals and to deepen the channels into its Bayport and Barbour's Cut container terminals to accommodate larger ships. The authority's capital

Debt Statistics

(\$000)

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| These Issues | 147,770 |
| Outstanding debt | 554,609 |
| Direct Debt | 702,379 |
| Overlapping Debt | 26,928,096 |
| Total Overall Debt | 27,630,475 |

Debt Ratios

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Direct Debt Per Capita (\$) | 158 |
| As % of Market Value | 0.2 |
| Overall Debt Per Capita (\$) | 6,221 |
| As % of Market Value | 6.4 |

Population: 4,441,370 (estimate 2015). Market value: 429,797,449,000 (2015). Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

needs are focused on the expected increased demand for the port resultant from the expansion of the Panama Canal that should be completed by 2016; the port is one of the closest major ports in the Gulf of Mexico to the canal.

The authority's 2015–2019 CIP calls for total spending of \$1.1 billion and will be funded with a combination of cash and debt. In addition to the \$100 million annually from cash flow, the authority will soon issue a \$300 million flexible-rate revolving note secured by port (non-tax) revenues. This bridge financing will either be extended or refunded with long-term revenue or GO debt prior to the note's three-year maturity. Additionally, some of the capital projects are demand-driven and can be reduced or eliminated if growth in cargo does not materialize, and Fitch views the authority's successful capital project implementation history as a credit positive. Fitch projects the authority will maintain strong debt service coverage of its upcoming revenue-supported note issuance under various sensitivity scenarios. If the entire CIP was funded solely by GO bonds, Fitch anticipates that the increase in the debt burden and the overall tax rate would be minimal given its expansive tax base.

The authority's exposure to retiree liabilities has been significantly diminished by the closure of its well-funded defined benefit pension plan to staff hired after Aug. 1, 2012, who are eligible for a new defined contribution plan, and by the proactive establishment of an asset trust in fiscal 2011 for other post-employment benefits (OPEB) for retiree healthcare. The closed pension plan is funded at 104% as of the most recent Aug. 1, 2014 valuation using the plan's 7% discount rate. The OPEB trust had a \$27 million cash balance as of Jan. 1, 2014, relative to the \$60 million unfunded liability, which equals a nominal 0.01% of full MV.

The ratings above were solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer, and therefore, Fitch has been compensated for the provision of the ratings.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK [HTTPS://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings) IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2015 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.