

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and
Report of Independent Certified Public
Accountants

**Port of Houston Authority of Harris County,
Texas**

Year ended December 31, 2016

Contents

	Page
Part I - Financial	
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	4
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Part II - Internal Controls and Compliance Reports	
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards	7
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Compliance for each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance	9
Part III - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Section I – Summary of Auditor’s Results	13
Section II – Financial Statement Findings	13
Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	13

Part I - Financial



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Grant Thornton LLP
700 Milam Street
Suite 300
Houston, TX 77002
T 832-476-3600
www.GrantThornton.com

To the Port Commission
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (the Authority) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and our report thereon dated April 18, 2017 expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on these basic financial statements as a whole.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200*, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures. These additional procedures included comparing and reconciling the information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Grant Thornton LLP

Houston, Texas
April 18, 2017

Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
Year ended December 31, 2016

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA number	Expenditures
Expenditures of Federal Awards:		
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
Direct program		
Port Security Grant Program	97.056	\$ 185,670
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		<u>185,670</u>
U.S. Department of Justice		
Direct Program		
Bullet Proof Vest Program	16.607	875
Total U.S. Department of Justice		<u>875</u>
U.S. Department of Transportation		
Direct Program		
TIGER Discretionary Grant Program	20.933	1,351,935
Total U.S. Department of Transportation		<u>1,351,935</u>
U.S. Department of Defense		
Direct Program		
Project Cooperation Agreement	12.XXX	19,132
Total U.S. Department of Defense		<u>19,132</u>
Total		<u>\$ 1,557,612</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
Year ended December 31, 2016

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of *Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Costs Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The expenditures of federal awards reported for the Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA), CFDA 12.XXX, reflect design and engineering costs incurred by the Authority which will be submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for credit under the PCA. The Corps of Engineers has preapproved the projects for which such costs can be incurred, but retains the right to deny credit for costs submitted.

Indirect Cost Rate

The Authority has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Part II - Internal Controls
and Compliance Reports



**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

Grant Thornton LLP
700 Milam Street
Suite 300
Houston, TX 77002
T 832-476-3600
www.GrantThornton.com

To the Port Commission
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (the "Authority") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which comprises the statements of net position and related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and cash flows, and have issued our report thereon dated April 18, 2017.

Internal control over financial reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Authority's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in the Authority's internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Intended purpose

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Authority's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Grant Thornton LLP

Houston, Texas
April 18, 2017

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY
UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

Grant Thornton LLP
700 Milam Street
Suite 300
Houston, TX 77002
T 832-476-3600
www.GrantThornton.com

To the Port Commission
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

Report on compliance for each major federal program

We have audited the compliance of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (the “Authority”) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget’s *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016. The Authority’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to the Authority’s federal programs.

Auditor’s responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Authority’s major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance.

Opinion on each major federal program

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report on internal control over compliance

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in the Authority's internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this Report on Internal Control Over Compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Grant Thornton LLP

Houston, Texas
April 18, 2017

Part III - Schedule of Findings
and Questioned Costs

Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor’s report issued:	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
• Material weakness identified?	No
• Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	None Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:	
• Material weakness identified?	No
• Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	None Reported
Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.515(a)	No

Identification of major federal program:

<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program</u>
20.933	TIGER Discretionary Grant Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B federal programs:	\$750,000
Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee?	No

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

The audit disclosed no findings required to be reported.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

The audit disclosed no findings required to be reported.