Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants

Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

Year ended December 31, 2014

Contents

	Page
Part I - Financial	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	4
Part II - Internal Controls and Compliance Reports	
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards	6
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Compliance for each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133	8
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	10
Part III - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results Section II – Financial Statement Findings Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	12 13 13
Part IV – Summary of Prior Audit Findings	14

Part I - Financial

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS Year ended December 31, 2014

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA number	Pass-through entity identifying number	Ex	penditures
Expenditures of Federal Awards: U.S. Department of Homeland Security Direct program Port Security Grant Program	97.056	N/A	\$	103,053
Pass Through: Harris County Port Security Grant Program	97.056	746011946		2,267,419
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				2,370,472
U.S. Department of Defense Direct Program Project Cooperation Agreement	12.XXX	N/A		55,175
Total U.S. Department of Defense				55,175
Total			\$	2,425,647

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS Year ended December 31, 2014

- 1. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.
- 2. The expenditures of federal awards reported for the Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA), CFDA 12.XXX, reflect design and engineering costs incurred by the Authority which will be submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for credit under the PCA. The Corps of Engineers has preapproved the projects for which such costs can be incurred, but retains the right to deny credit for costs submitted.

Part II - Internal Controls and Compliance Reports



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Grant Thornton LLP 700 Milam Street Suite 300 Houston, TX 77002 T 832-476-3600 www.GrantThornton.com

To the Port Commission Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (the "Authority") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 21, 2015.

Internal control over financial reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Authority's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance



Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in the Authority's internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Intended purpose

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Authority's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Houston, Texas April 21, 2015

Grant Thornton UP



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Grant Thornton LLP 700 Milam Street Suite 300 Houston, TX 77002 T 832-476-3600 www.GrantThornton.com

To the Port Commission Port of Houston Authority of Harris County

Report on compliance for each major federal program

We have audited the compliance of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (the "Authority") with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Authority's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the Authority's federal programs.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Authority's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.

The above-mentioned standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.



We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance.

Opinion on each major federal program

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Report on internal control over compliance

Management of the Authority is responsible for designing, implementing, and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. However, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2014-001 that we consider to be a material weakness in the Authority's internal control over compliance.

The Authority's response to our findings on internal control over compliance, which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the Authority's response.



The purpose of this Report on Internal Control Over Compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Houston, Texas

Grant Thousan LLP

May 4, 2015



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Grant Thornton LLP 700 Milam Street Suite 300 Houston, TX 77002 T 832-476-3600 www.GrantThornton.com

To the Port Commission Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (the Authority) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 and our report thereon dated April 21, 2015 expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on these basic financial statements as a whole.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures. These additional procedures included comparing and reconciling the information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole

Houston, Texas April 21, 2015

Grant Thousand LLP

Part III - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – CONTINUED

Port of Houston Authority of Harris County

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS Year ended December 31, 2014

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements	
Type of auditor's report issued:	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
 Material weakness identified? 	No

 Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?
 None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

<u>Federal Awards</u>
Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness identified?

Yes

• Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?

None Reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?

Identification of major federal program:

<u>CFDA Number</u> Name of Federal Program or Cluster

97.056 Port Security Grant Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B federal programs: \$300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

Yes

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – CONTINUED

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

The results of our audit procedures disclosed no findings to be reported for the year ended December 31, 2014.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding #: 2014 - 001

Program Title: Port Security Grant Program CFDA Number: 97.056

Federal Award Number: Federal Award Year: 2009 - 2014

2009-PU-T9-K011, 2010-PU-T0-K002, 2011-PU-K00146-S01 2012-PU-00486-S01, 2013-PU-00175, 2014-PU-00512-501

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Homeland Security Pass-through Entity: Harris County

Type of Finding: Material Weakness

Reporting

Criteria or Specific Requirement:

The A-102 Common Rule and OMB Circular A-110 (2 CFR part 215) require that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal laws, regulations, and program compliance requirements.

Condition:

The Categorical Assistance Progress Report and SF-425 reports are not reviewed by anyone other than the preparer prior to being submitted to the grantor agency.

Questioned Costs:

None reported.

Context:

During our review of the design effectiveness of internal controls surrounding the applicable compliance requirements, GT noted that reports were not reviewed prior to submission to Harris County or FEMA. Additionally, of the 4 financial reports judgmentally selected for testing, there was no indication that a review of the reports was performed prior to submission to the granting agency.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – CONTINUED

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

Effect:

The Port of Houston's lack of review could cause errors to be reported to the granting agency thus causing a loss of funding.

Cause:

The Port believes as the reports are prepared electronically there is no opportunity for review prior to submission.

Recommendation:

We recommend the Port of Houston Authority assign another individual with requisite knowledge to review the required reports for the Port Security Grant Program prior to submission.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions:

Management agrees with this finding; an additional individual with requisite knowledge will review and sign-off on the required reports prior to submission to the granting agency.