




Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas

Single Audit Reports

December 31, 2025



Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas
Contents
December 31, 2025

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 1

Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards 2

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards 3

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* – Independent Auditor’s Report 4

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and State Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Required by the Texas Grant Management Standards – Independent Auditor’s Report 6

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 10

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings 13

**Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended December 31, 2025**

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipient	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Program				
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		\$ -	\$ 17,179
Total U.S. Department of Justice			-	17,179
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Direct Program				
Port Infrastructure Development Program	20.823		-	5,640,730
Nationally Significant Freight and Highway Projects	20.934		-	22,030,577
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			-	27,671,307
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Pass Through: Texas Department of Public Safety				
Public Assistance Grant Program	97.036	PW 1936, 577, 522, 1709, 1710	-	696,732
Direct Program				
Port Security Grant Program	97.056		-	60,000
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			-	756,732
U.S Environmental Protection Agency				
Direct Program				
Diesel Emission Reduction Act (DERA) National Grants	66.039		-	1,579,078
Clean Ports Program	66.051		-	20,802
Total U.S Environmental Protection Agency			-	1,599,880
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ -	\$ 30,045,098

**Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas
Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards
Year Ended December 31, 2025**

State Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	State Identifying Number	Expenditures
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality		
Direct Program		
Seaport & Rail Yard Areas Emissions Reduction Program (SPRY)	582-23-42655 & 555	\$ 1,448,933
Total Texas Commission on Environmental Quality		<u>1,448,933</u>
Total State Expenditures		<u><u>\$ 1,448,933</u></u>

Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards
Year Ended December 31, 2025

Note 1. Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards and schedule of expenditures of state awards are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Costs Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance) and the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, State of Texas Grant Management Standards (TxGMS). The information in these schedules is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance and the TxGMS. Because the schedules present only a selected portion of the operations of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (Authority), it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the Authority.

Note 2. Public Assistance Grant Program

Public Assistance Grant Program expenditures of \$696,732, included on the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, were incurred in prior years.

Note 3. Indirect Cost Rate

The Authority has elected not to use the de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance and TxGMS.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditor's Report

Port Commission
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas
Houston, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*), the financial statements of the business-type activities and fiduciary activities of the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas (Authority), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 29, 2026.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed

no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Forvis Mazars, LLP

**Houston, Texas
April 29, 2026**

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and State Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Required by the Texas Grant Management Standards

Independent Auditor's Report

Port Commission
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas
Houston, Texas

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and State Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal and State Program

We have audited the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas' (Authority) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* and the Texas Grant Management Standards (TxGMS) that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Authority's major federal and state programs for the year ended December 31, 2025. The Authority's major federal and state programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal and state programs for the year ended December 31, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal and State Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance); and the TxGMS. Our responsibilities under those standards, the Uniform Guidance, and the TxGMS are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Authority and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal and state program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Authority's federal and state programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Authority's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and, therefore, is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, the Uniform Guidance, and the TxGMS will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Authority's compliance with the requirements of each major federal and state program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, the Uniform Guidance, and the TxGMS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Authority's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the Authority's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the TxGMS, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance that is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2025-001. Our opinion on each major federal and state program is not modified with respect to this matter.

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Authority's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Authority's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response. The Authority is responsible for preparing a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in our auditor's report. The Authority's corrective action plan was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we did identify a deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2025-001 to be a significant deficiency.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Authority's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Authority's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response. The Authority is responsible for preparing a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in our auditor's report. The Authority's corrective action plan was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance and the TxGMS. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Required by the TxGMS

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and fiduciary activities of the Authority, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated April 29, 2026, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards and schedule of expenditures of state awards are presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and TxGMS and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements

themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with GAAS. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Forvis Mazars, LLP

**Houston, Texas
April 29, 2026**